

Comment Set C.118: Riley and DeAnna Ritterbusch

9-21- 2006

CPUC/USDA Forest Service
c/o Aspen Environmental Group
30423 Canwood Street-Suite 215
Agoura Hills, CA. 91301

RECEIVED
SEP 25 2006

BY:.....

Dear CPUC/USDA Forest Service:

I am a resident of the Leona Valley area and recently attended a town meeting for our small town, where the subject was the proposed Antelope-Pardee 500-kv Transmission Project. The tension was very high as the proposed project was talked about and more information was given to the people of Leona Valley. As the meeting went on there was some information given that was misleading and not truthful. Some of the pictures presented in the Environmental Impact report/statement, prepared by the Aspen environmental Group, neglected to show some of the houses and other structures that are currently in the area. This is very misleading and has the feel of big business trying to push people out of their homes and ruin the environment that the people of Leona Valley have built and grown to love.

The area around Leona Valley is already surrounded by an electrical corridor that is currently feeding the substations located throughout the southland, and any further expansion will ruin the way of life in our beautiful valley. After looking at the project, and all the proposals, the best way for the new 500-kv line to run is the original SCE proposal. This proposed route runs through the forest away from the homes and ranches of the people of the Leona Valley. This route is shown on figure B.2-1 (Regional Location Map) in the Environmental Impact Report/Statement, this route runs south west from the antelope valley through a portion of Spunky Cyn that is unpopulated, it then runs over the Southwest tip of the Bouquet Reservoir and continues to the Pardee substation. This is a corridor that is already in use and has been a part of the landscape of the National Forest for decades. Because this route is already in use, and is a straight shot to the substation, it will be a more economical way of getting this project completed. I think this is the best way to resolve this issue and also allow the wind generation project in the Tehachapi area to continue.

I vote to construct the original route for the 500-kv transmission line that Southern California Edison has proposed. This route will enable the people of Leona Valley to keep there homes and help preserve the tranquility of the Leona Valley.

Regards,

Riley and DeAnna Ritterbusch
37970 Bouquet Cyn Rd
Santa Clarita, Ca. 91350

C.118-1

Response to Comment C.118: Riley and DeAnna Ritterbusch

C.118-1 Thank you for your comments. We understand that you are opposed to Alternative 5 and support the original route proposed by Southern California Edison.